

Pro in Gyno Clinic

Divyashree M

Department of Entrepreneurship Studies, Madurai Kamaraj University.

| | - |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Date of Submission: 20-11-2020 | Date of Acceptance: 10-12-2020 |
| | - |

ABSTRACT: Nineteen patients with recurrent and two patients with locally advanced gynecologic malignancies received intra operative radiation therapy (IORT) with electrons at the Mayo Clinic. Fourteen of the patients also received external beam irradiation. Actuarial local control with or without central control at 5 years was 71%, and actuarial control within the IORT field (central control) was 80%. The distant metastases rate at 5 years was 47%. Actuarial 2- and 5-year overall survival was 58 and 33%, respectively, and disease-free survival was 47 and 40%, respectively. Patients with microscopic disease had significantly higher 5-year disease-free and overall survival (70 and 67%, respectively). In summary, IORT in combination with maximum debunking surgery with or without external beam therapy in patients with par aortic or pelvic sidewall recurrences of gynecologic malignancies appeared to improve long-term local control and survival. The addition of hyperthermia or hypoxic sensitizers may be a

consideration to further improve local control in patients with gross residual disease. The high incidence of distant metastasis warrants the search for effective systemic chemotherapy. IORT-related toxicity was acceptable.

KEY WORDS: patient, disease, therapy.

I. INTRODUCTION:

The proportion of women who agreed to participate was higher in the media recruitment group than in the clinic registry group [51% (535/1041) compared to 26% (405/1542), P < 0.001]. The no-show rate among participants solicited from the media strategy was significantly less than that from the clinic registry. There were no significant differences in the median age, number of months since the last Papanicolaou smear, incidence of abnormal Papanicolaou smear, education, or income of the subjects based on the recruitment strategy

| YEAR | TITLE AND | AUTHORS | FINDING |
|------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | | NAME | |
| | YEAR | | |
| | PUBLISHED | | |
| 2001 | Hematogenous | Andrea Mariani | However, deep |
| | Dissemination in | M | myometrial invasion |
| | Corpus | | was the only |
| | Cancer,2001Musculosk | | independent |
| | eletal Pain in | | predictor of HD. |
| | Schoolchildren | | Only 5% of patients |
| | | | with $\leq 50\%$ |
| | | | myometrial invasion |
| | | | had HD compared |
| | | | with 23% with |
| | | | >50% myometrial |
| | | | invasion. |
| | | | Considering |
| | | | separately |
| | | | recurrence in the |
| | | | lung and in the liver |
| | | | and recurrence in |
| | | | other sites, the only |

REVIEW OF LITERATURE



| | | | independent |
|------|--|--------------|--|
| | | | predictors of lung |
| | | | recurrence were |
| | | | stage IV disease and |
| | | | mvometrial |
| | | | invasion. whereas |
| | | | independent |
| | | | predictors of HD to |
| | | | the liver/other sites |
| | | | were age and |
| | | | histological grade |
| | | | Considering only |
| | | | the 60 patients with |
| | | | a known site of HD |
| | | | 67% with lung |
| | | | requirence were \$65 |
| | | | vears old compared |
| | | | with 17% with HD |
| | | | to the liver/other |
| | | | sites Furthermore |
| | | | grade 1.2 disease |
| | | | was observed in |
| | | | 65% of patients with |
| | | | lung recurrence |
| | | | compared with 27% |
| | | | with HD to the |
| | | | liver/other sites. |
| 2002 | Recruitment Strategies | R Brewster A | Eligible volunteers |
| | for Cervical Cancer | Ziogas | were randomized to |
| | Prevention Study 2002 | Liogus | |
| | | | one of two study |
| | r revention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms usual-care |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | arms, usual-care |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | arms, usual-care program or single- visit program All |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for |
| | Trevention Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. |
| | Tieveniion Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses |
| | Tieveniion Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of |
| | Tieveniion Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square. logistic |
| | Tieveniion Study,2002 | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression. and |
| | | | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression, and Student's t test. |
| 2003 | Lung Cancer Screening | Stephen J | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression, and Student's t test. |
| 2003 | Lung Cancer Screening with CT: Mayo Clinic | Stephen J | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression, and Student's t test. CT alone depicted 36 cases: sputum |
| 2003 | Lung Cancer Screening with CT: Mayo Clinic Experience, 2003. | Stephen J | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression, and Student's t test. CT alone depicted 36 cases; sputum cytological |
| 2003 | Lung Cancer Screening with CT: Mayo Clinic Experience, 2003. | Stephen J | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression, and Student's t test. CT alone depicted 36 cases; sputum cytological examination alone. |
| 2003 | Lung Cancer Screening with CT: Mayo Clinic Experience, 2003. | Stephen J | one of two study arms, usual-care program or single- visit program. All study subjects completed demographic and medical questionnaires delivered by bilingual staff. Women who declined to participate in this study were asked to provide reasons for this preference. Statistical analyses included the use of chi-square, logistic regression, and Student's t test. CT alone depicted 36 cases; sputum cytological examination alone, two. There were two |



| | | | interval cancers. Cell types were as follows: squalors cell tumor, seven; adenocarcinoma or bronchioloalveolar carcinoma, 24; large cell tumor, two; non–small cell tumor, three; small cell tumor, four. The mean size of the non–small cell cancers detected at CT was 15.0 mm. The stages were as follows: IA, 22; IB, three; IIA, four; IIB, one; IIIA, five; IV, one; limited small cell tumor, four. Twenty-one (60%) of the 35 non–small cell cancers detected at CT were stage IA at diagnosis. Six hundred ninety-six additional findings of clinical importance were |
|------|---|--|---|
| 2009 | Overview of Advanced Computer Vision Systems for Skin Lesions Characterization settings ,2 | Charalampos N. Doukas, C. N. Doukas, | identified. Then, we describe how to extract these features through digital image processing methods, i.e., segmentation, border detection, and color and texture processing, and we present the most prominent techniques for skin lesion classification. The paper reports the statistics and the results of the most important implementations that exist in the literature, while it compares the performance of several classifiers on the specific skin lesion diagnostic |



International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)Volume 2, Issue 10, pp: 482-490www.ijaem.netISSN: 2395-5252

| | | | problem and |
|------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | | | discusses the |
| | | | corresponding |
| | | | findings. |
| 2012 | Research Careers for | Karthikeyan | To offset this |
| | American | Ganesan v | variability and to |
| | Indian/Alaska Native | | standardize the |
| | Nurses,2006 | | diagnostic |
| | | | procedures, efforts |
| | | | are being made to |
| | | | develop automated |
| | | | techniques for |
| | | | diagnosis and |
| | | | grading of breast |
| | | | cancer images. A |
| | | | few papers have |
| | | | documented the |
| | | | general trend of |
| | | | computer-aided |
| | | | diagnosis of breast |
| | | | cancer, making a |
| | | | broad study of the |
| | | | several techniques |
| | | | involved. But, there |
| | | | is no definitive |
| | | | documentation |
| | | | focusing on the |
| | | | mathematical |
| | | | techniques used in |
| | | | detection This |
| | | | detection. Inis |
| | | | review aims at |
| | | | providing an |
| | | | recent advances and |
| | | | developments in the |
| | | | field of Computer |
| | | | Aided Diagnosis |
| | | | (CAD) of breast |
| | | | cancer using |
| | | | mammograms |
| | | | specifically focusing |
| | | | on the mathematical |
| | | | aspects of the same. |
| | | | aiming to act as a |
| | | | mathematical primer |
| | | | for intermediates |
| | | | and experts in the |
| | | | field |
| 2014 | Quantitative | Ling Zheng | Colorectal cancer is |
| | measurement of clinic- | | the one of malignant |
| | genomic association | | tumors whose |
| | for colorectal cancer | | molecular |
| | using literature mining | | mechanism is |
| | and Google-distance | | relatively clear, |
| | algorithm,2014 | | making it a more |



| | | | appropriate object of |
|------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | | | study. This paper |
| | | | proposed a |
| | | | quantitative |
| | | | measurement of |
| | | | clinic-genomic |
| | | | associations for |
| | | | colorectal concer |
| | | | based on Geogle |
| | | | Distance using |
| | | | MEDI INE databasa |
| | | | MEDLINE database |
| | | | as the corpus. Our |
| | | | method is |
| | | | engineered with |
| | | | several |
| | | | technologies, |
| | | | including mapping |
| | | | clinic and genomic |
| | | | data to MeSH terms, |
| | | | modifying |
| | | | Normalized Google |
| | | | Distance using year |
| | | | average. Data from |
| | | | Electronic Medical |
| | | | Records (EMR), |
| | | | Online Mendel an |
| | | | Inheritance in Man |
| | | | (OMIM). and |
| | | | Genetic Association |
| | | | Database (GAD) |
| | | | ware used in this |
| | | | study A total of |
| | | | Study. A total of |
| | | | 3/95 clinic-genomic |
| | | | associations of |
| | | | colorectal cancer |
| | | | between 67 clinical |
| | | | concepts and 236 |
| | | | genes were |
| | | | obtained, of which |
| | | | 584 associations |
| | | | were identified for |
| | | | their gene is |
| | | | contained in the |
| | | | colorectal cancer |
| | | | pathway using |
| | | | KEGG pathway |
| | | | analysis |
| 2014 | Time series forecasting | Claudio. David | However, manv |
| | in an outpatient cancer | | outpatient care |
| | clinic using common- | | facilities do not |
| | day clustering | | engage in demand |
| | auy orastorning | | forecasting and |
| | | | those that do offer |
| | | | uiose mat uo ottell |
| | | | methods without |
| | | | aunious without |
| | | | exploring the best |



| | | | technique to forecast |
|------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| | | | their patient |
| | | | domand This |
| | | | demand. This |
| | | | research study |
| | | | examines the |
| | | | application of time |
| | | | series forecasting |
| | | | techniques to daily |
| | | | patient volume |
| | | | levels at an |
| | | | outpatient cancer |
| | | | treatment clinic The |
| | | | work focuses on the |
| | | | optimal methods for |
| | | | |
| | | | accurate day-anead |
| | | | forecasting in this |
| | | | healthcare setting |
| | | | with particular |
| | | | attention given to |
| | | | the differing |
| | | | forecast |
| | | | performance |
| | | | characteristics |
| | | | between traditional |
| | | | calendar sequencing |
| | | | and common-day |
| | | | clustering of the |
| | | | time series data |
| | | | Through the |
| | | | I mough the |
| | | | construction of |
| | | | various forecasting |
| | | | models across |
| | | | multiple patient |
| | | | treatment duration |
| | | | categories, it is |
| | | | found that |
| | | | modifying a time |
| | | | series to a common- |
| | | | day clustered |
| | | | sequence can |
| | | | provide |
| | | | etatistically a |
| | | | significant |
| | | | significant |
| | | | improvement in the |
| | | | accuracy of a |
| | | | forecast. |
| 2018 | The utility of patient | Barnes | Measuring QoL is |
| | reported data in a | | essential to the field |
| | gynecologic oncology | | of gynecologic |
| | clinic | | oncology but there |
| | | | seems to be limited |
| | | | standardized data |
| | | | regarding collecting |
| | | | Opt opt |
| | | | QOL assessments |
| | | | throughout a |
| | | | patient's cancer |



International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)Volume 2, Issue 10, pp: 482-490www.ijaem.netISSN: 2395-5252

| treatment especially |
|-----------------------|
| in non-clinical trial |
| patients. The aim of |
| this study is to |
| explore patient |
| characteristics that |
| may be associated |
| with poor quality of |
| life (QoL) in women |
| with gynecologic |
| cancers at two |
| University of |
| Arizona Cancer |
| Center (UACC) |
| sites. |

RESEARCH GAP

The feedback of gyno clinic was done in various methods but not particularly in Madurai, Tamilnadu, India hence we have catered to it.

II. DATA ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

We use excel sheet to analysis data and we use simple random sampling to pick data. Convergent and discriminate was proved.



PERCENTAGE

HIGHEST QUESTION

Question 1:

Did you have any issues arranging appointments - 3.89

Question 2:

How would you rate the professionalism of our staff -3.37

LOWEST QUESTION

Question 10:

Did the radiology centre provide the scan reports on the same day -2.7?

REFERENCES

- [1]. Andrea Mariani M," Hematogenous Dissemination in Corpus Cancer", Springer, 2001.
- [2]. R. Brewster, A. Ziogas.," Recruitment Strategies for Cervical Cancer Prevention Study, ELSIVIER," 2002.



- [3]. Stephen "Lung Cancer Screening with CT: Mayo Clinic Experience", Radiology,2003.
- [4]. Charalampos N. Doukas, C. N. Doukas,"Overview of Advanced Computer Vision Systems for Skin Lesions Characterization, IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine (Volume: 13, Issue: 5, Sept. 2009)
- [5]. Karthikeyan Ganesan v, "Computer-Aided Breast Cancer Detection Using Mammograms: A Review", IEEE, 2012.
- [6]. Ling Zheng, "Quantitative measurement of clinic-genomic association for colorectal

APPENDIX

ABOUT TRAINER AND TRAINING:

cancer using literature mining and Googledistance algorithm", 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2015.

- [7]. Claudio, David "Time series forecasting in an outpatient cancer clinic using commonday clustering", IIE Transactions on Healthcare Systems Engineering Volume 4, 2014 - Issue 1.
- [8]. Burnes, "The utility of patient reported data in a gynecologic oncology clinic", Springer, 2018.

| ITEMS | STRONGL | DIS | NEUTRAL | AGREE | STRONGLY |
|---------------------|---------------|-------|---------|-------|----------|
| | Y DISAGREE | AGREE | | | AGREE |
| Did you have any | | | | | |
| issues arranging | | | | | |
| appointments? | | | | | |
| How would you | | | | | |
| rate the | | | | | |
| professionalism of | | | | | |
| Are you currently | | | | | |
| covered under a | | | | | |
| health insurance | | | | | |
| plan? | | | | | |
| How would you | | | | | |
| rate the | | | | | |
| investigative | | | | | |
| diagnosis process | | | | | |
| that you | | | | | |
| Underwent? | | | | | |
| receive conflicting | | | | | |
| information from | | | | | |
| different medical | | | | | |
| care professionals | | | | | |
| at this hospital? | | | | | |
| What are the | | | | | |
| differences in the | | | | | |
| care provided by | | | | | |
| the nospitals | | | | | |
| available ill your | | | | | |
| Were the | | | | | |
| ambulatory staffs | | | | | |
| quick to respond to | | | | | |
| your medical care | | | | | |
| request? | | | | | |
| Were the staffs | | | | | |
| sensitive towards | | | | | |
| your addiction? | | | | | |

DOI: 10.35629/5252-0210482490 | Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 489



International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM)Volume 2, Issue 10, pp: 482-490www.ijaem.netISSN: 2395-5252

| Did the birth home | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| provide good post | | | |
| -natal care? | | | |
| Did the radiology | | | |
| centre provide the | | | |
| scan reports on the | | | |
| same day? | | | |